

# Superconductivity and magnetism in organic layered superconductors

S. Tomić<sup>a,1</sup>, M. Pinterić<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Prester<sup>a</sup>, D. Drobac<sup>a</sup>, K. Maki<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Institute of Physics, P.O.Box 304, HR-10001 Zagreb, Croatia*

<sup>b</sup>*Faculty of Civil Engineering, University of Maribor, SLO-2000 Maribor, Slovenia*

<sup>c</sup>*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California 90089-0484*

---

## Abstract

The present state of knowledge in the field of layered organic superconductors based on  $\kappa$ -(BEDT-TTF) is reviewed. Normal and ground states inside the temperature *versus* pressure phase diagram are discussed. The question of unconventional *versus* conventional superconducting pairing is addressed.

*Key words:* organic superconductivity, superfluid density, spin density waves

---

## 1. Introduction

It is remarkable that magnetic insulators are found in the vicinity of superconductors (SC) not only for high  $T_C$  cuprates but also for layered organic superconductors  $\kappa$ -(BEDT-TTF)<sub>2</sub>X, where X stand for Cu(NCS)<sub>2</sub>, Cu[N(CN)<sub>2</sub>]Br and Cu[N(CN)<sub>2</sub>]Cl [1,2]. The  $\kappa$  phases of BEDT-TTF (abbreviated ET) are materials in which orthogonally aligned ET dimers form 2D conducting layers sandwiched between the polymerised anion layers (Fig. 1). One hole per dimer hopes within ET layer much easier than between layers yielding the in-plane to out-of-plane conductivity anisotropy of the order of  $10^3$ . In the organic superconductors, the competition between these two ground states is governed by pressure and choice of the anion or the isotope substitution. Magnetic order is of an insulating antiferromagnet with mildly canted spins [3]. An indirect evidence of local charge ordering has been




Fig. 1. Crystal structure of  $\kappa$ -(BEDT-TTF)<sub>2</sub>X, where X stand for Cu[N(CN)<sub>2</sub>]Br and Cu[N(CN)<sub>2</sub>]Cl.

obtained recently by low-frequency dielectric spectroscopy [4,5]. The experimental situation regarding

---

<sup>1</sup> *Correspondence to:* stomic@ifs.hr




Fig. 2. Phase diagram of  $\kappa$ -(BEDT-TTF) $_2X$ .

the symmetry of the order parameter is still unclear and rather controversial. Approximately, a half of the performed studies gave evidence for non-s-wave pairing, while the other half showed the exponential dependence expected for s-wave pairing [6–8]. In this paper we overview essential features of the phase diagram, as well as main results we have obtained in the studies of the magnetic penetration depth that clearly indicate d-wave nature of the SC order parameter.

## 2. Phase diagram

Phase diagram is shown in Fig. 2 [9]. AF and SC phases occur next to one another. At the low pressure side of the phase diagram, the DC resistivity increases gradually below room temperature due to very strong on-site Coulomb interactions. A commensurate spin-density wave (SDW) modulation of localised spins, which are canted by an angle of 0.06 degrees from the easy axis is established at  $T_C = 22$  K [4]. The low temperature (LT) state is therefore, a weak ferromagnetic state divided in domains with equivalent spin configurations. The low frequency dielectric spectroscopy data indicate that SDW charge relaxation originates from domain wall pairs, whose size is about  $0.1 \mu\text{m}$  [5]. A random charged domain wall pattern divides ET planes into randomly coupled AF regions. At very LT, a regular ferromagnetic domain structure is estab-




Fig. 3. Real and imaginary parts of the AC susceptibility in an AC field of 14 mOe parallel and perpendicular to crystal ac plane for the Relaxed sample.




Fig. 4. In-plane superfluid density  $\rho_{s,in}$  (solid line) and out-of-plane superfluid density  $\rho_{s,out}$  (dashed line) versus reduced temperature for the Relaxed sample. The s-wave BCS result (dotted line) is shown for comparison.

lished that might imply the existence of static charge order. In addition, charged wall pair correlations are observed in the 10 K wide region above  $T_C$ .

Metallic phase, at the high pressure side of the phase diagram, is in some aspects distinct from conventional metal. Knight shift decreases significantly below 50 K, suggesting a suppression of the density of states [10]. An additional evidence for that was obtained by STM experiments [11]. Further, a peak in NMR spin-lattice relaxation rate at about 50 K suggests the appearance

of short range AF correlations [10]. However, Fermi liquid-like behaviour, in particular Schubnikov-de Haas oscillations can be induced by moderate magnetic fields [2]. A disorder-order transition bearing glassy features happens at 75 K [12]. The transition is caused by gradual freezing down of the motion of the ethylene groups of the ET molecules that are thermally activated at high temperatures between two possible conformations. At LT in the SC state, the level of the residual disorder is critically determined by the time scale of the experiment. When the applied time scale is much longer than the mean relaxation time, the measured single crystal is in Relaxed state. A remnant disorder is harmless and a full Meissner state is achieved with the LT saturation value of  $\chi'$  of almost -1 (Fig. 3). The latter corresponds to the measurement geometry when applied AC field is perpendicular to ET planes, whereas for the parallel field the SC volume amounts to 90%. Since the penetration depth anisotropy ratio is much larger than the aspect ratio of measured single crystals, the sample susceptibility is dominated by the in-plane and the out-of-plane penetration depth in the former and the latter geometry, respectively. The obtained  $T = 0$  values for  $\lambda_{\text{in}}(0) = 1.5 \mu\text{m}$  and  $\lambda_{\text{out}}(0) = 53 \mu\text{m}$  correspond to the values reported in the literature. The in-plane and out-of-plane superfluid density, calculated according to  $\rho_{\text{s,in}}(T) = (\lambda_{\text{in}}(0)/\lambda_{\text{in}}(T))^2$  and  $\rho_{\text{s,out}}(T) = (\lambda_{\text{out}}(0)/\lambda_{\text{out}}(T))^2$  for the R state are shown in Fig. 4. The leading term which describes the LT behaviour of  $\rho_{\text{s,in}}$  and  $\rho_{\text{s,out}}$  is  $T$  and  $T^2$ , respectively. Note that the shapes of both curves are qualitatively different from the s-wave BCS result.

### 3. Summary

The observed power laws in the LT behaviour of the penetration depth are fully consistent with those expected in the d-wave model, in which the bulk SC state is created by antiparamagnon exchange and stabilised by the Josephson coherent tunnelling between SC layers [6,7]. Further, the  $T^3$  dependence of the spin-lattice relaxation rate found in  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR measurements, the  $T^2$  dependence of the electronic specific heat and the

temperature linear planar thermal conductivity also confirm d-wave symmetry (see references in [6]). Nevertheless, even recently, there are experiments reported in the literature, which claim to find behaviour consistent with the s-wave SC [13]. In attempt to reconcile the existing contradictions, we have undertaken an investigation that covers a broad range of single crystals of various syntheses and in which the influence of thermal cycling and sample history is checked in carefully designed experiments. The full account of our work will be published elsewhere [14].

### Acknowledgements

Single crystals used in this work have been provided by K. Kanoda and D. Schweitzer.

### References

- [1] M. Lang, Superconductivity Review **2**, 1 (1996).
- [2] J. Singleton, Rep. Prog. Phys. **63**, 1111 (2000).
- [3] A. Kawamoto, K. Miyagawa, Y. Nakazawa and K. Kanoda, Phys. Rev. B **52** (1995) 15522.
- [4] M. Pinterić, M. Miljak, N. Biškup, O. Milat, I. Aviani, S. Tomić, D. Schweitzer, W. Strunz and I. Heinen, Eur. Phys. J. B **11**, 217 (1999).
- [5] S. Tomić, M. Pinterić, T. Vuletić, J.U. von Schütz, and D. Schweitzer, Synth. Metals **120**, 695 (2001).
- [6] M. Pinterić, S. Tomić, M. Prester, D. Drobac, O. Milat, K. Maki, D. Schweitzer, I. Heinen, W. Strunz, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 7033 (2000) and references there-in.
- [7] S. Tomić, M. Pinterić, K. Maki, M. Prester, D. Drobac, O. Milat, D. Schweitzer, I. Heinen and W. Strunz, J. Phys. IV France **10**, Pr3-161 (2000).
- [8] A. Carrington, I.J. Bonalde, R. Prozorov, R.W. Giannetta, A.M. Kini, J. Schlueter, H.H. Wang, U. Geiser and J.M. Williams, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 4172 (1999).
- [9] K. Kanoda, Hyperfine Interactions 104, 235 (1997).
- [10] P. Wzietek, H. Mayaffre, D. Jérôme and S. Brazovskii, J. Phys. I France **6**, 2011 (1996).
- [11] T. Arai, K. Ichimura, K. Nomura, S. Takasaki, J. Yamada, S. Nakatsuji and H. Anzai, Synth. Metals **120**, 707 (2001).
- [12] H. Akutsu, K. Saito and M. Sorai, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 4346 (2000).
- [13] H. Elsinger, J. Wosnitza, S. Wanka, J. Hagel, D. Schweitzer and W. Strunz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 6098 (2000).
- [14] M. Pinterić et al., to be published.